RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

01	Initial Assessment Report
02	Preliminary Change Report
03	Final Change Report

CHANGE REPORT

R0094 – CLARIFY OBLIGATIONS ON GAS METER EXCHANGES THAT OCCUR CLOSE TO COS

CHANGE REPORT	PRELIMINARY
DOCUMENT VERSION	1.0
ISSUED DATE	08/05/2024
DOCUMENT APPROVAL STATUS	APPROVED

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	METERING EXPERT PANEL	CHANGE PATH	SELF-GOVERNANCE
PRIORITY STATUS	MEDIUM	URGENCY	STANDARD

DEADLINE FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANGE PROPOSALS	25/04/2024

LEAD CHANGE ANALYST



Lydia Bentley

Click to send an email

020 3922 0748

TECHNICAL LEAD

Beth Brown

Click to send an email

ASSURANCE LEAD

Lakshna Murali

Click to send an email

CHANGE OVERVIEW

When a Meter Equipment Manager (MEM) carries out work on the Gas meter concurrently with a switch, the MEM may be de-appointed before it sends updated meter information to the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP). This results in the gaining Registered Supplier not having accurate meter information, which can lead to a poor Consumer experience.

High Impact:	Gas Consumers
Medium Impact:	Gas Suppliers Metering Equipment Managers Gas Shippers
Low Impact:	Central Data Service Provider

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE DECISION

On 08 May 2024 the REC Metering Expert Panel **APPROVED** Change Proposal R0094 to proceed to Consultation on 10 May 2024.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

CONTENTS

BACKGROUND		
1.	Summary	3
2.	Problem Statement	3
3.	User Stories	3
PROPOSAL		
4.	Summary of Analysis Undertaken	4
5.	Proposed Solution	5
6.	Draft Legal Text	8
7.	Summary of Impact Assessment Activity	10
8.	Delivery Against Change Proposal Plan	12
9.	Key Risks, Assumptions, Issues and Dependencies	12
10.	Proposed Implementation Date and Approach	12
11.	Business Case Assessment	13
12.	Case for Change	14
13.	Code Manager's Recommendation	14
14.	Consultation Plan and Next Steps	14
15.	Appendix	14
16.	Glossary	15

BACKGROUND

1 BACKGROUND

When a MEM carries out a change of Gas Metering Asset, they are required to update both the Registered Gas Supplier and the CDSP with the outcome of the work. This is done via the ONJOB Market Message and should be provided within 48 hours of completion of the work. This requirement is set out in Schedule 14 – Metering Operations under paragraphs 8.5.9 and 8.5.10. When this update is not made it results in the Registered Gas Suppliers holding the wrong asset information which in turn leads to potential billing, settlement and compliance issues for the Registered Gas Suppliers and a poor experience for the customer.

Failure to send ONJOB Market Messages can be compounded when the Metering Asset work happens concurrently with a Switch. During a Switch, the MEM will be de-appointed by the losing Registered Gas Supplier. The losing MEM has 2 Working Days (WDs) to acknowledge the de-appointment request from the losing Registered Gas Supplier. In instances where the MEM is de-appointed during or after Metering Asset work is undertaken, but before ONJOB Market Messages are sent, they may never be sent by the de-appointed MEM.

This change seeks to make it clearer that the MEMs are obliged to send ONJOB Market Messages to the outgoing Registered Gas Supplier and the CDSP regardless of the de-appointment. Whilst the Code Manager believes that the existing provisions in Schedule 14 – Metering Operations provide that the MEM must send ONJOBs regardless of appointment status, this change seeks to make the requirement explicit. This will help the gaining Registered Gas Supplier ensure that they can establish the Consumer's account with accurate meter technical details, preventing problems with billing, settlement or switching.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

When Metering Asset work is carried out concurrently with a Switch, details of the work undertaken may not be sent to industry by the MEM, resulting in inaccurate and out of date Metering Asset data being passed to the gaining Registered Gas Supplier.

3 USER STORIES

REF.	USER STORIES
CONSUMER USER STORIES	
C1	As a Consumer, I want my Supplier to have accurate information about my meter, so that I do not experience billing problems following a Switch.
MARKET PARTICIPANT USER STORIES	
M1	As a MEM, I want to clearly understand my obligations relating to data transfer during a Switch, so that records of work I carry out are accurately recorded.

REF.	USER STORIES
M2	As a Registered Supplier, I want metering data on my portfolio of Registrable Measurement Points to be accurate, so that Consumers do not experience billing problems or have a negative switch experience.
M3	As the CDSP, I want Change of Metering Asset data communicated with me to be timely and accurate, so that I am better able to fulfil my role and support REC Parties.

PROPOSAL

4 SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS UNDERTAKEN

During solution development and analysis, we have identified three scenarios that all result in the same issue central to this change; the CDSP not receiving accurate Metering Asset information where Metering Asset works are carried out close to a Switch. This section will describe the scenarios that the Code Manager has identified, and will then go onto detail how the legal text changes will resolve each specific scenario, by exploring the draft legal text changes.

4.1 CHANGE SCENARIOS

4.1.1 SCENARIO 1

The losing Supplier instructs a Metering Asset job prior to the Switch and it is conducted in the losing Supplier's ownership period. However, the Market Messages are not sent by the MEM and / or losing Supplier prior to the switch. As a result, the gaining Supplier (and Shipper) and the CDSP are not updated with the Metering Asset's new details.

4.1.2 SCENARIO 2

The losing Supplier instructs their MEM to conduct a Change of Metering Asset, however, due to a concurrent switch, the work takes place following the switch, and is therefore within the gaining Supplier's Registration period. In this scenario, where the losing Supplier then attempts to update CDSP with the details of the Change of Metering Asset, this will be rejected as the work occurred outside the losing Supplier's Registration period. The gaining Supplier will receive a Connections & Disconnections (C&D) Store notice, alerting them that details of the Metering Asset they have acquired are likely to be inaccurate.

4.1.3 SCENARIO 3

The gaining Supplier instructs their MEM to conduct a Change of Metering Asset to coincide with the switch, to ensure that work is carried out on D+0, for example. However, the MEM conducts the work prior to the switch, and as a result, the Change of Metering Asset occurs during the losing Supplier's ownership period, and the losing MEM's appointment period. Where the work is carried out on or before D-2, the CDSP cannot accept notification of the work as it was conducted outside of the gaining Supplier's acceptable appointment period.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

5 PROPOSED SOLUTION

The Code Manager has is proposing a series of changes to Schedule 14 – Metering Operations. These are broken down as follows:

5.1.1 SECTION 6.4 - METERING ASSET INSTALLATION (GAS MAM)

The Code Manager is proposing the following footnote to be added to Section 6.4 - Metering Asset Installation (Gas MAM). Please note that selected previous steps have been included to provide context to the footnote for 6.4.7. Only the text in red is to be added.

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
6.4.1	If required.	Request Metering Asset installation.	Consumer	Gas Supplier[4]	Unlikely to be electronic	Not defined
6.4.2	Following 6.4.1.	Request Metering Asset installation.	Gas Supplier	MAM	ORJOB	Email, IX, DTN
6.4.3	Within 2WDs of 6.4.2.	Respond to Metering Asset installation request.	MAM	Gas Supplier	RRJOB	Email, IX, DTN
6.4.4	Following 6.4.2.	Request Metering Asset installation.	MAM	Meter Worker / AMI	Information contained within ORJOB	Not defined
6.4.7	At the time and date specified within the request in 6.4.4.	Carry out Metering Asset installation[7] [8].	Meter Worker / AMI		Internal Process	N/A

[8] MAMs shall only proceed with the Metering Asset Installation if they reasonably believe they will have a valid appointment status on the date of the Metering Asset Installation.

5.1.2 SECTION 7.5 – METERING ASSET REMOVAL

The Code Manager is proposing the following footnote to be added to Section 7.5 - Metering Asset Removal (Gas MAM). Please note that selected previous steps have been included to provide context to the footnote for 7.5.7. Only the text in red is to be added.

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
7.5.1	If required.	Request Metering Asset removal.	Consumer	Gas Supplier[29]	Unlikely to be electronic	Not defined
7.5.2	Following 7.5.1.	Request Metering Asset removal.	Gas Supplier	MEM	ORJOB	Email, IX, DTN
7.5.4	Following 7.5.2.	Request Metering Asset removal.	MAM	Meter Worker / AMI	Information contained within ORJOB	Not defined
7.5.7	At the time and date specified	Carry out Metering Asset	Meter Worker /		Internal Process	N/A

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
	within the request in 7.5.4.	removal.[31]	AMI			

[31] MAMs shall only proceed with the Metering Asset Removal if they reasonably believe they will have a valid appointment status on the date of the Metering Asset Removal.

5.1.3 SECTION 8.5 - METERING ASSET EXCHANGE / REPOSITION / RECONFIGURE (GAS MAM)

The Code Manager is proposing the following footnote to be added to Section 8.5 - Metering Asset Exchange / Reposition / Reconfigure (Gas MAM). Please note that selected previous steps have been included to provide context to the footnote for 8.5.7. Only the text in red is to be added.

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
8.5.1	If required.	Request Metering Asset exchange.	Consumer	Gas Supplier[29]	Unlikely to be electronic	Not defined
8.5.2	Following 8.5.1.	Request Metering Asset exchange.	Gas Supplier	MAM	ORJOB	Email, IX, DTN
8.5.4	Following 8.5.2.	Request Metering Asset exchange.	MAM	Meter Worker / AMI	Information contained within ORJOB	Not defined
8.5.7	At the time and date specified within the request in 8.5.4.	Carry out Metering Asset exchange[36].	Meter Worker / AMI		Internal Process	N/A

[36] MAMs shall only proceed with the Metering Asset Exchange if they reasonably believe they will have a valid appointment status on the date of the Metering Asset Exchange.

5.1.4 SECTION 9.5 - SWITCH WITH CONCURRENT CHANGE OF MEM (GAS MAM)

The Code Manager is proposing the following footnote to be added to Section 9.5 – Switch with Concurrent Change of MEM (Gas MEM). Please note that selected previous steps have been included to provide context to the footnote for 8.5.7. Only the text in red is to be added.

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
9.5.1	At any time.	Request new Gas Supplier.	Consumer	Gaining Supplier	Not defined	Other
9.5.2	Following 9.5.1.	Submit Switch Request.	Gaining Supplier	CSS Provider	As defined in the Registration Services Schedule	CSS API

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
9.5.3	Following Switch Request validation	Accept Switch Request. Where the Switch Request is rejected, the process will be terminated and a new Switch Request will be required.	CSS Provider	Gaining Supplier Losing Supplier CDSP via the GRDA Other recipients as defined in the Registration Services Schedule	As defined in the Registration Services Schedule	CSS API
9.5.10	At any time following 9.5.3[46].	Request agent de-appointment.	Losing Supplier	Losing MAM	ONAGE including details of Gaining Supplier	Email, IX, DTN
9.5.11	Within 2WDs of 9.5.10.	Accept agent de-appointment.	Losing MAM	Losing Supplier	RNAGE	Email, IX, DTN
9.5.12	Following 9.5.11, if ONAGE DI40174 Transaction Type Reason Code = COS, and where ONAGE DI40088 Effective To Date is in the past. Otherwise, move to 9.5.18.	Confirm if Metering Asset Exchange/Reposition/Reconfigure has been conducted and/or not recorded following effective de-appointment date found within the ONAGE[47 48].	Losing MAM	-	Internal	N/A
9.5.13	Within 48 hours of 9.5.12 where the conditions are met.	Communicate details of Metering Asset Exchange/Reposition/Reconfigure	Losing MAM	Gaining MAM	ONDET	Email, IX, DTN
9.5.14	Within 48 hours of 9.5.13	Respond to Metering Asset information.	Gaining MAM	Losing MAM	RNDET	Email, IX, DTN
9.5.15	Within 48 hours of 9.5.13	Notification of Metering Asset Exchange/Reposition/Reconfigure	Gaining MAM	Gaining Supplier	ONJOB	Email, IX, DTN
9.5.16	Within 48 hours	Notification of Metering	Losing MAM	Losing	ONJOB	Email,

Ref	When	Action	From	To	Interface	Means
	of 9.5.13	Asset Exchange/Reposition/Reconfigure		Supplier		IX, DTN
9.5.17	Following 9.5.13, 9.5.15 or 9.5.16	As per Metering Asset Exchange obligations in Paragraph 8.5, Metering Asset Removal obligations in Paragraph 7.5, or Metering Asset Installation obligations in Paragraph 6.4, as appropriate.	MAM Gaining Supplier Losing Supplier	CDSP Gaining Shipper Losing Shipper	ONJOB	-

[47] If at any time a de-appointed MAM realises it has carried out a Metering Asset Exchange/Reposition/Reconfigure, Metering Asset Removal, or Metering Asset Installation, that is not represented in Market Messages already issued to the Gaining MAM on de-appointment, it should ensure that all updated Metering Asset information & supplementary information are provided to the Gaining MAM.

[48] Where the exchange of Metering Asset information reveals that Switch Meter Reading used by the Gaining Supplier and Losing Supplier for billing and/or settlement are incorrect, the suppliers shall resolve this read issue via the Switch Meter Reading Problems process as per Schedule 30 - Resolution of Consumer-Facing Switching and Billing Problems.

6 DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

The Code Manager expects that these legal text amendments will adequately resolve the three scenarios identified above, and therefore resolve the issue identified in R0094's problem statement. Below, the legal text changes will be addresses one by one, and an explanation will be provided for the Code Manager's rationale behind them.

The Code Manager is aware that in the current drafting of the proposed legal text that consequential footnote numbering updates have not yet been drafted. The Code Manager expects that these will be updated by publication of the Final Change Report.

6.1.1 SECTION 6.4, SECTION 7.5 & SECTION 8.5 FOOTNOTES

These footnotes have been included for two reasons. Firstly, they represent a general catchall statement that work on Metering Assets should not be conducted outside of a MEM's appointment period. All of the scenarios identified as part of the Code Manager's solution analysis, and therefore the ultimate underlying issue at the heart of R0094, are caused by a MEM conducting work very close to a different MEM's appointment period, because the de-appointment process overlapped the change of supply process with not enough time for Market Messages to process and complete. This statement therefore represents the Code Manager's intention that wherever possible these, close to or out-of-appointment, Metering Asset jobs should be avoided.

The Code Manager has included the same footnote under both Section 6.4 – Metering Asset Installation, Section 7.5 – Metering Asset Removal & 8.5 – Metering Asset Exchange /

Reposition / Reconfigure. Whilst the Code Manager expects that the majority of the R0094 incidents occurring are a result of a Metering Asset Exchange, we cannot rule out that these incidents also occur during a Metering Asset Installation or Metering Asset Removal.

The second reason behind the addition of this legal text is to directly address Scenario 3, outlined above. Whilst the Code Manager has proposed a new obligation to address Scenarios 1 & 2 (to be detailed below), we did not feel that this was warranted for Scenario 3. We feel that Scenario 3 has not been established as a clear and as a result, we did not feel that a new obligation to address it would be appropriate, and instead the footnote would be sufficient to provide Suppliers and MEMs with clarity that work should not be instructed before either Party is appointed.

6.1.2 SECTION 9.5 – NEW OBLIGATION

The Code Manager has proposed a new obligation to ensure that details of a Change of Metering Asset are communicated onwards to Suppliers, Shippers and the CDSP as appropriate. This new obligation has been inserted into Section 9.5 – Switch with Concurrent Change of MEM (Gas MEM). We have not included the Change of MEM (Gas MEM) without a Switch as the Supplier remains the same and will have details of any missing updates to escalate with their MEMs. The Code Manager has inserted the new steps below existing steps 9.5.10 and 9.5.11, as we felt that linking these new obligations to the MEM de-appointment process was essential, as de-appointment is a fundamental issue within the context of this Change. The new obligation is as follows:

- **9.5.12** – We have linked new obligation to two specific triggers: The effective de-appointment date found within the ONAGE, and the de-appointment reason found within the ONAGE to address the issues identified in R0094.
- **9.5.13 & 9.5.14** – Where a MEM has identified that a Change of Metering Asset has occurred outside their appointment period following the checks conducted as part of 9.5.12, the losing MEM will communicate with the gaining MEM via an ONDET (responded to via the RNDET). This ensure that both parties are now aware of the Metering Asset details.
- **9.5.15 & 9.5.16** –By introducing an obligation for both the gaining and losing MEM to communicate Metering Asset details onto the relevant Supplier, the Code Manager has ensured that all Parties are now able to communicate onward to CDSP. As Scenario 1 and 2 involve a Change of Metering Asset conducted in the Losing and Gaining MEMs' appointment periods respectively, by ensuring that both the Losing and Gaining Supplier both have access to the correct Metering Asset details, the opportunity to update CDSP is enhanced and clarified.
- **9.5.17** – We have linked this new obligation back to existing steps to inform relevant parties of a Change of Metering Asset, as described in Section 8.5.

6.1.3 SECTION 9.5 FOOTNOTES

The Code Manager has included two new footnotes to support the proposed obligation, both associated with the "trigger" found in 9.5.12.

- **Footnote 47** – We have included this to let Parties know that if at any point during the Switch Process they discover a Change of Metering Asset has been conducted outside of that MEM's appointment period, then they can follow the new obligation in order to resolve it.
- **Footnote 48** – This footnote is to encourage Parties to use the Switch Meter Read Problems process (as per Schedule 30) to address incorrect readings, should they arise from the R0094 issue. We are keen to ensure that even, REC Parties feel supported in knowing how to resolve any issues with the Switch Meter Read.

7 SUMMARY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACTIVITY

7.1 SERVICE PROVIDER IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

The Code Manager conducted a preliminary service provider impact assessment with the CDSP between 20 November 23 and 11 December 23. The Code Manager should note that the CDSP completed the impact assessment based on a solution which has since undergone further iteration. However, the Code Manager does not believe that the solution materially changed to such an extend that the responses received by the CDSP are no longer valid.

Central Data Service Provider	• CDSP confirmed that they do not expect any system changes as a result of the proposed solution. • The CDSP confirmed that they believed that the Code Manager captured all solution requirements. • The CDSP made an assumption that no changes to the ONJOB or ONUPD Market Messages would change as a result of the proposed solution. The Code Manager can confirm that this is indeed the case. • The CDSP were asked to provide feedback specifically on how the solution would resolve scenario two, and confirm that they expect that it will resolve it.

The CDSP are continuing to engage informally with the Code Manager on this Change, and have provided their views on the solution as it has been iterated upon.

7.2 REC PARTY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

The Code Manager published a REC Party impact assessment on 27 March 24, and it concluded on 19 April 24. The Code Manager received seven responses, from five Suppliers and two MEMs. The Code Manager asked respondents for their view on the proposed solution, expected resource costs associated with implementation, implementation lead time requirements, and for views on any other elements of the Change not captured by the Code Manager.

ENERGY SUPPLIERS	• Of the five Suppliers who responded, two of them (ENGIE & SSE) supported the Code Manager's assertion that the proposed solution constitutes a positive case for change, and

	agreed with our assessment that the proposed solution will resolve the problem statement. ○ Regarding operational costs associated with implementation, ENGIE did not foresee any implementation costs, and SSE foresaw a small amount (which was not quantified) but anticipated that it would be outweighed by the benefits likely to be accrued by the proposed solution. • Of the remaining three Suppliers (OVO, SEFE & EDF), each identified an issue which the Code Manager will address below: ○ OVO: This respondent (who is also the Proposer of the change) has suggested several changes to the wording of proposed new Paragraph 9.5.12. Several of the points raised have been addressed by legal text revisions suggested by SEFE (see below). The respondent did question the wording of new Paragraph 9.5.12, and the Code Manager will address the wording of this Paragraph during Consultation. ○ SEFE: This respondent identified that the Code Manager had not given suitable consideration to the impact that the proposed solution will have on non-domestic MEMs who conduct work on complex Metering Assets. They suggested a wording amendment to the proposed footnotes for Sections 6.4 and 8.5, that they believe will sufficiently resolve the issue. The Code Manager agreed and has since adopted this amendment. ○ EDF: The respondent raised several concerns about the new obligation proposed by the Code Manager, and how it will impact on operational processes during a Change of Metering Asset. The Code Manager has since met with the respondent, discussed the solution, and the respondent has confirmed that their concerns have been addressed in the latest iteration of the solution.
METERING EQUIPMENT MANAGERS	• Both MEMs who responded supported the proposed solution and agreed that it would constitute a positive case for change. • Of the two MEMs, only SMS provided a view on implementation and operational considerations; BUUK did not respond to these questions. ○ SMS confirmed that they would expect minimal operational impact as a result of implementing the proposed solution as their systems already work in a way which aligns with the goals of the R0094 solution. ○ SMS confirmed that they did not expect any conflict between the proposed solution and existing Connections & Disconnections Store obligations.

	• BUUK drew attention to the Code Manager's assessment that there is some overlap between R0094 and R0064. They queried why the Code Manager flagged R0064, when that change largely constitutes changes to Electricity regulation. ○ The Code Manager confirmed that the R0064 solution involves a change to Schedule 14 – Metering Operations, in which gas MEMs are to be re-named MAMs. As such, the Code Manager is confident that this link was identified correctly, and the R0094 proposed legal text changes have been drafted with R0064 in mind.

8 DELIVERY AGAINST CHANGE PROPOSAL PLAN

The Change is proceeding according to V2.0 of the Change Proposal Plan. The Code Manager revised the initial plan on 01 March 24, and this revised plan was approved by the REC Change Panel.

The Change Proposal Plan can be found here.

9 KEY RISKS, ASSUMPTIONS, ISSUES AND DEPENDENCIES

9.1 ASSUMPTIONS AND DEPENDENCIES

No.	ASSUMPTION	NOTES	HOW/WHEN TO VALIDATE
1	R0105 is a change that is exploring a reduction in the 2WD SLA for MEMs to respond to the ONAGE Market Message.	The Code Manager has not formally identified a direct dependency between these changes. Rather, they share an overlap as both relate to processes surrounding the de-appointment of MEMs. Instead, the Code Manager would encourage respondents simply to keep R0105 in mind whilst considering their responses.	Confirmed – No Impact

10 PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE AND APPROACH

PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	FEBRUARY 2025	PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	BIG BANG

The Code Manager proposes included this Change in the February 2025 release. This will provide sufficient lead time following approval to allow REC Parties to accommodate the implementation of the proposed solution.

11 BUSINESS CASE ASSESSMENT

11.1 COSTS

There are no central system costs associated with the implementation of the proposed solution. Some REC Parties who responded to the impact assessment did indicate that they were likely to expend some resource and cost in implementing the proposed solution, but these could not be quantified.

11.2 BENEFITS

INTANGIBLE BENEFITS	BENEFITS DESCRIPTION
Consumer Benefit	The Code Manager expects that improved Switch Meter Reading fidelity will greatly improve the Consumer experience. Consumers who Switch and find that their new Supplier does not have accurate details about their Metering Asset may find that they are not billed properly and have an unduly stressful Switch experience.
Improved Gas Data Quality	The proposed solution will result in improved accuracy of data relating to Metering Assets across the market, as incidents of incorrect Metering Asset details being propagated across Switches are reduced. This should provide greater confidence to any REC Party or Service Provider who regularly engages with Gas Metering Asset data.
Improved Gas Supplier Operational Efficiency	Suppliers who gain MPRNs with incorrect Metering Asset details need to expend resource to identify the correct Metering Asset details, update their billing systems as required, and to engage with the Consumer to rectify the issue. The R0094 proposed solution should ameliorate this issue for Suppliers and reduce their operational burden.

11.3 COST BENEFIT ANALYIS SUMMARY

As there are no Central System costs, but identifiable benefits to Consumers and Gas Suppliers, via a mechanism supported by Gas MEMs. Whilst REC Parties responding to the impact assessment did expect some level of cost to implement the proposed solution, the consensus of responses was that this cost was likely to be minimal, and outweighed by the expected benefits. As a result, the Code Manager believes that this change meets the cost benefit test.

12 CASE FOR CHANGE

12.1 SELF GOVERNANCE

The Code Manager considers that there is a case for change to implement this solution, on the basis that it:

- Is both viable and implementable, and it appropriately resolves the problem statement; and
- Is cost effective and proportionate to the anticipated benefit.

13 CODE MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

Based on the evidence provided in sections 11 and 12 of this report, the Code Manager considers that a positive business case can be made for implementing this solution.

The Code Manager recommends that the REC Metering Expert Panel:

- **AGREE** that the Preliminary Change Report constitutes a basis upon which to proceed to Consultation.

14 CONSULTATION PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

The consultation on this Preliminary Change Report for R0094 will be published on the REC Portal on 10 May 24 and closes on 31 May 24**.**

Following consultation, the Code Manager will produce an updated version of this Preliminary Change Report (referred to as the Final Change Report). This will include the outcome of the consultation, setting out a summary of the consultation submissions and the Code Manager's response.

The Final Change Report will be issued to REC Metering Expert Panel to make its determination.

15 APPENDIX

CHANGE PROPOSAL	Link
LEGAL DRAFTING	Link
CONSOLIDATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT RESPONSES	Link

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

16 GLOSSARY

TERM	DEFINITION
Appointed	means, in respect of a Supplier Agent and for a particular RMP, that the Supplier Agent is recorded against that RMP under this Code (or the BSC or UNC, as applicable); or, in respect of a period of time, that the Supplier Agent is or was so recorded during that period. "Appoint" and "Appointment" shall be interpreted accordingly.
CDSP	means the 'Central Data Service Provider' appointed by Gas Transporters pursuant to standard special condition A15 (Central Data Service Provider) of the Gas Transporter Licences.
Change of Metering Assets	means the installation, removal and/or exchange of a Metering Asset for an RMP.
Market Message	means a structured communication sent between two Market Participants in the form and with the content required (and as otherwise specified) by the Data Specification.
MEM	means, as applicable, either: (a) for electricity, the 'Meter Operator Agent' (as defined in the BSC) Appointed by an Electricity Supplier; or (b) for gas, the 'Meter Asset Manager' (as defined in the UNC) Appointed by the Gas Supplier
Metering Asset	shall have the same meaning as Metering Equipment
Metering Equipment	means: (a) in relation to gas, all the components of a meter installation and ancillary equipment, as defined in IGEM/G/1 edition 2; or (b) in relation to electricity, means the equipment associated with a particular meter, including the meter itself and any related Current Transformer (CT) and/or Voltage Transformer (VT), communication and/or control equipment, as may be further defined in the BSC.
Registered Supplier	means, in respect of an RMP and at any time, the Energy Supplier recorded against that RMP in the Central Switching Service at that time with an Active or Secured Inactive Registration Status (or, in respect of a period of time, the Energy Supplier that had, has or will have an Active or Secured Inactive Registration Status in respect of that RMP during that period).
Registrable Measurement Point (RMP)	means a Supply Meter Point or Metering Point identified in the Central Switching Service.
Retail Risk	means a risk that retail energy consumer outcomes and the effectiveness of the retail market are measurably and significantly degraded by a failure by a REC Service User or REC Service Provider to meet the objectives, standards and core processes under this Code.
Switch	means a change to the Registered Supplier for an RMP.